CARBOXYLATION OF ALCOHOLS WITH CARBON MONOXIDE SUPERSATURATED IN STRONG ACID. FACILE SYNTHESIS OF 2,2-BIS(4-HALOPHENYL)ACETIC,-PROPIONIC, AND RELATED ACIDS

Yukio TAKAHASHI, \* Norihiko YONEDA, † and Hiroshi NAGAI

Department of Industrial Chemistry, Kitami Institute of Technology, Kitami 090

†Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060

Using 97%  $\rm H_2SO_4$  supersaturated with carbon monoxide, bis(4-halophenyl)methanols, 1,1-bis(4-halophenyl)ethanols and related alcohols were transformed to the carboxylic acids in 60-95% yields.

The Koch-Haaf reaction 1) can not be used for aryl carbinols, because they undergo self-condensation and give complicated products. Particularly, diaryl and triaryl carbinols give highly stable carbenium ions that can hardly react with CO to afford the acyl cations under the ordinary Koch-Haaf reaction conditions. 2) A synthetic method for 2,2-bis(4-halophenyl)acetic acids, and -propionic acids, and related acids from the corresponding alcohols in 97% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> supersaturated with CO generated in situ from formic acid is now presented.

Reaction of bis(4-halophenyl)methanols, and -ethanols under a few stirring rate conditions are summarized in Table 1. Under the Koch-Haaf conditions in the literature, 1) bis(4-chlorophenyl)methanol(la) gave mainly bis(4-chlorophenyl)methyl ether obtained by the hydrolysis of bis(4-chlorophenyl)methyl cation (Expt.No.1). The carboxylated product(2a) was formed in unsatisfactory yield, since the secondary cation can not sufficiently react with CO under the conditions. Under a similar reaction condition without stirring, 2a was obtained in a high yield (Expt.No.2). The increase in the yield of 2a may be produced by the reaction of CO supersaturated in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with the secondary cation derived from la. 5) The concentration of supersaturated CO was 0.16-0.38 mol/l, achieved by addition of formic acid to 97% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> without stirring. 0 Under the same condition as in Expt.No.2, however, 1,1-bis-(4-chlorophenyl)ethanol(lb) gave the carboxylated product(2b) in a low yield (Expt.No.3). Contrariwise, lengthening of reaction time led to an increase of the yield of 2b (Expt.No.4). The lower reactivity of lb compared with la is produced by the higher stability of the tertiary cation than that of the secondary one.

Without stirring, all the bis(4-halophenyl)methanols except bis(4-iodophenyl)-methanol gave bis(4-halophenyl)acetic acids in good yields. Diphenylmethanol, however, did not give the carboxylated product but afforded unidentified products which might arise from the alkylation of aromatic rings and subsequent sulfonation. This stems from the highly reactive property of diphenylmethyl cation in  $\rm H_2SO_4$  solution. Strangely enough, bis(4-iodophenyl)methanol could hardly react with supersaturated CO but gave bis(4-iodophenyl)methyl ether. 1,1-Diphenylethanol, giving stable 1,1-diphenylethyl cation in  $\rm H_2SO_4$  solution, eacted with supersaturated CO and gave 2,2-diphenylpropionic acid in a good yield. Other 1,1-bis-

1734 Chemistry Letters, 1985

(4-halophenyl)ethanols reacted with CO and gave the corresponding propionic acids in yields of 60 to 72%. There are yet no facile synthetic methods for tertiary and secondary 2,2-diarylcarboxylic acids. Thus, the present method is a useful one-pot procedure for such carboxylic acids from readily available alcohols.

Table 1. 
$$X \leftarrow \bigcirc \stackrel{R}{ } \stackrel{C}{ } - \bigcirc \bigvee _{OH} - \bigcirc \bigvee _{O$$

Expt.	Alcoho	ol Stirring	Time	Products (yield/%) <sup>a,b,c)</sup>					
No.		conds.	h	_	2	Ar0Ar	CH <sub>2</sub>	others	
				( R'=H	) (R'=Ar)		C1- <b>©</b> -C- <b>©</b> -C1		
1	<u>la</u>	vigorous <sup>d</sup>	1.3	2 <sup>e</sup> )	22	69	-	5 <sup>f)</sup>	
2	<u>la</u>	no	1.3	92 <sup>e)</sup>	5	0	-	2 <sup>f)</sup>	
3	ļь	no	1.3	16 <sup>g)</sup>	-	-	48	26 <sup>h)</sup>	
4 <sup>i)</sup>	<u>lb</u>	no	10.2	85 <sup>g)</sup>	-	-	1	11 <sup>h)</sup>	
Alcohol $\underbrace{1}^{j}$		) Stirring	Temp	Time	Yield of 2 <sup>b)</sup>		Mp		
R	X Y	conds.	°C	h	%		θ <sub>m</sub> /°C		
Н	F F	no	15 - 16	2.1	95		141.2 - 142.0		
Н	F Br	no	15 - 16	2.1	94		131.0 - 132.0		
H E	Br Br	no	14 - 16	2.1	76		186.0 - 187.2		
Н	I I	no	14 - 16	2.1	14		196.7 - 197.8		
CH <sub>3</sub>	н н	no	14 - 16	10.1	60		173.7 - 174.0		
CH <sub>3</sub>	F F	no	20 - 21	10.1	60		145.0 - 145.2		
CH <sup>3</sup>	F C1	no	20 - 21	10.1	72		171.0 - 17	71.8	

a) Reaction conditions: 97% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 22 m1; HCO<sub>2</sub>H, 1 m1; alcohols, 2.5 mmol; react.temp, 15 °C. b) Isolated yields based on alcohols employed. c) Ar = C1- $\bigcirc$ -CH- $\bigcirc$ -C1. d) Stirring speed,  $\approx$ 1000 rpm. e) Mp, 167 - 168 °C (lit.  $^3$ ), 164 - 166 °C). f) Bis(4-chlorophenyl)methanol. g) Mp, 161 - 162 °C (lit.  $^4$ ), 160 - 161 °C). h) For the most part, 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone. i) React.temp, 20 °C. j) HCO<sub>2</sub>H, 6 m1.

## References

1) For a review: J.Falbe, "New Synthesis with Carbon Monoxide," Springer Verlag, New York (1980), p.372. 2) B.S.Friedman, U. S. Patent 3708530(1973); Chem. Abstr., 78, 58064a(1973). 3) O.Grimmitt, A.Buck, and R.Egan, Org.Synth., Coll.Vol.III, 270 (1955). 4) W.L.Bencze and M.J.Allen, J.Org.Chem., 22, 352(1957). 5) M.S.Newman and N.C.Deno, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 73, 3644(1951). 6) W.Haaf, Chem.Ber., 99, 1149(1966). 7) C.M.Welch and H.A.Smith, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 72, 4748(1950). 8) G.A.Olah, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 86, 932(1964). 9) V.Gold and F.L.Tye, J.Chem.Soc., 1952, 2172. 10) Although some 2,2-diarylacetic acids were prepared from aryl aldehydes and mono substituted benzenes in four steps, the overall yields were poor and 2,2-diarylpropionic acids could not be obtained by this method: Z.Eckstein and D.Rusek, Przem.Chem., 58, 235 (1979); Chem. Abstr., 91, 174970k(1979).

( Received April 8, 1985 )